

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,321	09/11/2003	Kevin R. McComb	47079-0229	5941
30223 JENIZENIS &				
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. 225 WEST WASHINGTON SUITE 2600 CHICAGO, IL 60606			CROSS, ALAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincrico, ii	7.0000	3714		
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 M	ONTHS	03/05/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,321	MCCOMB ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	omoo yidagaa caaaaay		3714			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alan Cross pears on the cover sheet with the cover				
Period fo						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			,			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	eptember 2003.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗀	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority I	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received:						
A44	that a	•	·			
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date 11/29/04,09/11/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muir et al. (Us Patent #6644664) in view of Bennett (US Patent #6093102).

Regarding claims 1,5,8,11,12,13,14,19: Muir teaches a gaming machine having an improved game display comprising: a polyhedral reel having a plurality of faces, each face having an indicium; and a central processing unit for determining a stopped position for the polyhedral reel, the central processing unit further for controlling the drive mechanism to position the polyhedral reel in the stopped position (col.1, 26-54, col. 2, 60-67). Muir also teaches a wager acceptor (col. 3, 4-10), paylines (col.1, 65-67, col. 2, 1-6) and making a award for a wining game outcome (col. 3, 33-45). Except a drive mechanism connected to the polyhedral reel for rotating the polyhedral reel.

Art Unit: 3714

Bennett teaches where a gaming machine may have either a video display or mechanical display of the reels (col. 5, 44-52). Whether the gaming machine has physical reels or video displayed reels it is controlled by a computer where they both are substantially the same. Where the out come would be the same in both just it would look different. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Muir and Bennett where polyhedral reels can be a mechanical reel or video where the out come of the game is displayed to the player and the player is paid for the wining combinations.

Regarding claim 2,3,6,15: Muir teaches the gaming machine described in claim 1, wherein the rotating polyhedral reel is oscillating (col. 1, 50-55). Muir is fully capable of rotating in at two axes.

Regarding claim 4,7: Muir teaches the gaming machine as described in claim 1, except a stepper motor driving the reels. Bennet teaches reels being controlled by a stepper motor (col. 5, 44-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Muir and Bennett where polyhedral reels can be a mechanical reel or video where the out come of the game is displayed to the player and the player is paid for the wining combinations.

Regarding clam 9,10: Muir teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising rotating the polyhedral reel in alternating directions before the stopped position and direction is alternated before a full revolution (col. 1, 50-60).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 16: It is well known in the art that reels are stopped in a sequential manner, giving the player a feeling of anticipation to where the next stopping symbol is a matching or winning element that is about to be shown.

Regarding claim 17: Muir teaches the method as described in claim 15, wherein the award is the sum of all credit values displayed on the stopped reels (col. 1, 60-64)

Regarding claim 18: Muir teaches the method as described in claim 17, wherein the award is the sum of all the credit values displayed on the stopped reels multiplied by the product or each multiplier displayed on the stopped reels (col. 2, 50-59). It is well known to have symbols that multiply the amount won for example wild symbols or multipliers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan Cross whose telephone number is 571-272-5529. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ARC 571-272-5529

Robert E Pezzulo

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3714